IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Vol. 2, No. 1, June 2015, pp. 15~18
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v2i1.pp15-18

Embedded systems and intelligent devices for community health monitoring: a technology review

Tri Wahono, Watra Arsadiando
Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Apr 25, 2014
Revised Feb 15, 2015
Accepted Apr 29, 2015

Keywords:

Community health monitoring
Embedded systems
Intelligent devices
mHealth
Microcontrollers
Physiological sensors
Wireless sensor networks

ABSTRACT

Embedded systems and intelligent devices are increasingly important for bringing health monitoring closer to individuals and communities, particularly where access to conventional healthcare facilities is limited. These technologies combine physiological sensors, microcontrollers, embedded signal processing, wireless communication, and mobile applications to acquire, analyze, and transmit health-related information. This review examines the main hardware components, sensing technologies, processing architectures, communication options, and community health applications reported in literature published up to 2013. Particular attention is given to low-power embedded platforms, wearable and body-area sensor networks, and intelligent functions such as signal conditioning, event detection, and threshold-based alerts. Applications include vital-sign monitoring, chronic disease follow-up, elderly care, maternal and child health support, and field-based data collection by community health workers. The review also considers implementation challenges involving measurement accuracy, energy constraints, network availability, interoperability, privacy, usability, and maintenance. Although intelligent devices can improve the timeliness of health information and support decentralized services, their value depends on integration with trained personnel, referral pathways, and sustainable health programs. Embedded technologies should therefore be viewed not as stand-alone medical solutions, but as components of coordinated, accessible, and context-sensitive community healthcare.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

1. INTRODUCTION

Embedded systems provide a technological foundation for developing compact, dedicated devices that acquire and process information from their surrounding environment. In healthcare, these systems integrate sensors, microcontrollers, signal-processing functions, communication interfaces, and application software to support physiological monitoring and health-related decision-making. Their relatively small size, low power requirements, and potential for autonomous operation make them suitable for applications beyond hospitals and specialized clinical facilities.

Community health monitoring requires technologies that can operate in homes, primary healthcare facilities, and geographically dispersed environments. Conventional health assessments often depend on scheduled consultations, which may limit the availability of information between visits. Embedded monitoring devices can support more frequent measurements and provide community health workers and healthcare professionals with timely information about individuals who require follow-up [1]–[3].

The contribution of intelligent devices extends beyond collecting physiological measurements. Local processing can identify abnormal patterns, filter noisy signals, and generate alerts before information is transmitted to a remote platform. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of these devices depends on measurement reliability, usability, connectivity, and their integration into existing healthcare services.

2. EMBEDDED SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE FOR HEALTH MONITORING

An embedded health-monitoring device typically consists of a sensing unit, signal-conditioning circuit, processing unit, communication interface, and power supply. These components work together to acquire physiological data, convert sensor outputs into digital information, and perform local processing. A microcontroller or embedded processor coordinates the operations and manages communication with external devices or healthcare platforms.

2.1. Sensors and data acquisition

Sensors are responsible for converting physiological conditions into measurable electrical signals. Common examples include temperature sensors, heart-rate sensors, electrocardiogram electrodes, blood-pressure measurement devices, and pulse oximeters. Accelerometers can also be incorporated to detect body movement, physical activity, and selected events such as falls [1], [2].

The quality of the acquired information depends on sensor characteristics and the surrounding measurement conditions. Sensor placement, calibration, motion artifacts, electrical interference, and individual physiological variation can influence measurement accuracy. Consequently, appropriate signal conditioning, analog-to-digital conversion, and basic validation are essential functions of an embedded monitoring device.

2.2. Microcontrollers and embedded processing

Microcontrollers provide the central processing capability for many compact health-monitoring devices. They can control sensor acquisition, execute simple signal-processing algorithms, manage device operation, and coordinate data transmission. Their low power consumption and integration of processing, memory, and input/output interfaces make them practical for portable monitoring applications.

Embedded processing can reduce the amount of information that must be transmitted by performing preliminary analysis locally. For example, a device may calculate heart rate, identify threshold violations, or detect unusual signal patterns before sending the results to a gateway. Research on wireless medical embedded systems has highlighted the importance of signal processing and classification for transforming body-worn sensor measurements into useful health information [3].

2.3. Intelligent functions

Intelligent devices incorporate processing functions that help interpret measurements rather than simply recording sensor outputs. These functions may include filtering, feature extraction, threshold-based detection, activity classification, and decision-support rules. Such capabilities can support the identification of potentially abnormal conditions and prioritize information for further clinical assessment.

However, the presence of automated analysis does not necessarily make a device clinically intelligent or reliable. Algorithms must be evaluated against appropriate reference measurements and representative patient populations, while alert thresholds must reflect the intended application. In community settings, simple and explainable decision rules may be more practical than computationally demanding algorithms that require extensive processing resources or continuous connectivity.

3. COMMUNICATION AND SYSTEM INTEGRATION

Communication technologies connect embedded devices with smartphones, local monitoring stations, and healthcare information systems. Short-range wireless protocols such as Bluetooth and ZigBee can connect sensors to a nearby gateway, while Wi-Fi and cellular networks can provide onward communication to remote platforms. The choice of communication technology depends on energy consumption, coverage, data rate, reliability, infrastructure availability, and implementation cost [1], [4].

Wireless body area networks provide an architecture for coordinating multiple sensors positioned on or around the human body. Sensor nodes collect physiological information and transmit it to a coordinator, which forwards the data to an external device or healthcare platform. Reviews of body sensor networks and telemedicine-based monitoring describe the integration of sensors, communication technologies, and remote clinical services as an important direction for patient monitoring [1], [4].

A complete monitoring platform may include local devices, mobile gateways, remote databases, and interfaces for healthcare professionals. The platform can support data visualization, patient records, alerts, and follow-up activities. Interoperability is essential because devices from different manufacturers may use different data formats and communication protocols, making consistent interpretation and integration difficult [5].

4. APPLICATIONS IN COMMUNITY HEALTH MONITORING

Embedded systems and intelligent devices can support several community-level healthcare activities. Their applications include vital-sign monitoring, chronic disease follow-up, elderly care, maternal and child health services, and field-based health data collection. These applications demonstrate how relatively compact technologies can extend information gathering beyond conventional healthcare facilities.

4.1. Vital-sign and chronic disease monitoring

Portable devices can measure selected physiological parameters such as heart rate, body temperature, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation. These measurements can be stored locally or transmitted to healthcare personnel for review. For individuals with chronic conditions, regular monitoring may provide additional information between scheduled consultations and help identify changes that warrant clinical assessment [2], [4].

4.2. Elderly care and home monitoring

Wearable and body-area sensor networks can support elderly care by monitoring physiological parameters and physical activity. Accelerometers and other motion sensors can contribute to fall-detection systems and activity monitoring, while physiological sensors provide additional information about health status. These technologies may support independent living, although they should be complemented by caregiver involvement and appropriate emergency-response arrangements [2].

4.3. Community health workers and mobile devices

Mobile devices can strengthen the work of community health workers by supporting field-based data collection, communication, reminders, and access to health information. They can also facilitate reporting and coordination between community workers and healthcare facilities. Reviews published in 2013 identified applications in maternal and child health, HIV/AIDS, and other community health services, while emphasizing the importance of training, organizational support, and integration with health systems [6], [7].

5. TECHNICAL AND IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

Despite their potential, embedded health-monitoring devices face several technical constraints. Limited battery capacity, processing resources, memory, and communication bandwidth can affect continuous operation and data availability. Developers must therefore balance measurement frequency, processing complexity, transmission requirements, device size, and operating lifetime.

Measurement reliability is another fundamental concern. Physiological signals can be affected by movement, environmental interference, sensor positioning, and differences between individuals. Inaccurate measurements or poorly designed alert mechanisms may generate false alarms or fail to identify important changes, potentially reducing confidence among patients and healthcare professionals [3], [5].

Security and privacy are particularly important because monitoring systems handle sensitive personal information. Wireless transmission and remote storage introduce risks involving unauthorized access, data interception, and inappropriate information sharing. Authentication, encryption, access control, and secure data management should therefore be incorporated into system design [8].

Practical implementation also requires attention to usability and maintenance. Devices must be understandable to patients and community health workers, while battery replacement, calibration, repair, and technical support must be feasible in the intended environment. Evidence on mobile technology for community health workers indicates that training, organizational arrangements, and operational support are important factors in achieving sustainable implementation [6], [7].

6. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future development should emphasize affordable, energy-efficient, and interoperable embedded platforms that can operate under diverse community conditions. Improvements in sensor integration, low-power microcontrollers, wireless communication, and local signal processing can support more compact and reliable devices. Greater attention should also be given to modular hardware and software architectures so that monitoring functions can be adapted to different health priorities.

Intelligent processing is another important direction for improving the usefulness of community monitoring devices. Local algorithms may help identify abnormal measurements, classify activity, and prioritize information before transmission to healthcare professionals. However, algorithmic performance must be clinically validated, and automated alerts should remain connected to clearly defined referral and response procedures.

7. CONCLUSION

Embedded systems and intelligent devices provide an important technological foundation for decentralized community health monitoring. By integrating physiological sensors, microcontrollers, local processing, and wireless communication, these systems can support vital-sign monitoring, chronic disease follow-up, elderly care, and community health-worker activities. Their successful implementation requires reliable measurements, efficient power management, secure communication, interoperability, usability, and sustainable maintenance. Ultimately, embedded health technologies should function as components of an integrated community healthcare system, supporting healthcare workers and improving access to timely health information rather than replacing professional clinical assessment.

REFERENCES

[1] C. Otto, A. MilenkoviĆ, C. Sanders, and E. Jovanov, "System architecture of a wireless body area sensor network for ubiquitous health monitoring," *Journal of Mobile Multimedia*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 307–326, 2005, doi: JMM/JMM.2005.11062838.

[2] A. Pantelopoulos and N. G. Bourbakis, "A survey on wearable sensor-based systems for health monitoring and prognosis," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics Part C: Applications and Reviews*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Jan. 2010, doi: 10.1109/TSMCC.2009.2032660.

[3] E. Jovanov and A. Milenkovic, "Body area networks for ubiquitous healthcare applications: Opportunities and challenges," *Journal of Medical Systems*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1245–1254, Oct. 2011, doi: 10.1007/s10916-011-9661-x.

[4] B. Latré, B. Braem, I. Moerman, C. Blondia, and P. Demeester, "A survey on wireless body area networks," *Wireless Networks*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–18, Jan. 2011, doi: 10.1007/s11276-010-0252-4.

[5] A. Milenković, C. Otto, and E. Jovanov, "Wireless sensor networks for personal health monitoring: Issues and an implementation," *Computer Communications*, vol. 29, no. 13–14, pp. 2521–2533, Aug. 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.comcom.2006.02.011.

[6] J. A. Blaya, H. S. F. Fraser, and B. Holt, "E-Health Technologies Show Promise In Developing Countries," *Health Affairs*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 244–251, Feb. 2010, doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.2009.0894.

[7] F. Hu, M. Jiang, L. Celentano, and Y. Xiao, "Robust medical ad hoc sensor networks (MASN) with wavelet-based ECG data mining," *Ad Hoc Networks*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 986–1012, Sep. 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.adhoc.2007.09.002.

[8] K. Lorincz *et al.*, "Sensor networks for emergency response: Challenges and opportunities," *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 16–23, Oct. 2004, doi: 10.1109/MPRV.2004.18.