**IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering**
Vol. 6, No. 1, June 2019, pp. 10~13
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v6i1.pp10-13 

# Accelerometer and flex sensors: operating principles, integration, and applications in human motion monitoring

**Tri Wahono[1], Watra Arsadiando[1], Fatria Ramadhan[2]**
[1]Embedded Systems and Power Electronics Research Group, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[2]Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

**Article Info**

***Article history:***

Received Feb 20, 2018
Revised Nov 10, 2018
Accepted Jan 26, 2019

***Keywords:***

Accelerometer
Bend measurement
Flex sensor
MEMS
Motion monitoring
Sensor fusion
Wearable sensors

**ABSTRACT**

Accelerometers and flex sensors are widely used to measure human movement, yet they capture different aspects of motion and present distinct integration challenges. Accelerometers detect linear acceleration and, through signal processing, support activity recognition, vibration monitoring, and estimation of body-segment movement. Flex sensors respond to bending deformation and are particularly useful for measuring joint angles, finger posture, and hand gestures. This review examines the operating principles, construction approaches, performance characteristics, and application domains of both sensor types, emphasizing developments reported up to 2018. It also considers signal conditioning, calibration, sensor placement, data fusion, and the influence of mechanical and environmental factors on measurement quality. The literature indicates that accelerometers offer compactness, low cost, and broad motion sensitivity, whereas flex sensors provide direct information about local curvature but may exhibit nonlinear response, hysteresis, and mechanical fatigue. Combining the two technologies can improve motion interpretation by integrating segment-level dynamics with joint-level deformation. However, reliable wearable systems require careful calibration, robust attachment, power-efficient acquisition, and algorithms validated beyond controlled laboratory settings. The review identifies these issues as priorities for practical rehabilitation, assistive, ergonomic, and human–machine interface applications.

*This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The growing demand for wearable electronics has increased interest in sensors capable of monitoring human movement accurately and continuously. Applications range from physical activity monitoring and rehabilitation to assistive technologies, gesture recognition, and human–machine interaction. Two relevant technologies are accelerometers, which measure acceleration, and flex sensors, which respond to bending deformation. Although both can describe aspects of body movement, they provide different measurement information and therefore require different approaches to installation, calibration, and interpretation [1]–[4].

A central research problem is that human movement is multidimensional and cannot always be represented adequately by a single sensing method. An accelerometer can detect dynamic movement and changes in orientation, but its output does not directly provide the bending angle of an individual finger or joint. Conversely, a flex sensor can estimate local bending but cannot independently describe the overall acceleration of a moving body segment. This review therefore examines the operating principles, technical characteristics, applications, and integration challenges of both technologies, with particular attention to their complementary roles in wearable systems.

## 2. ACCELEROMETER SENSORS

### 2.1. Operating principles and sensor technologies

An accelerometer measures acceleration along one or more sensitive axes and is commonly implemented using microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) technology. A typical MEMS accelerometer contains a small proof mass suspended by microfabricated structures, with movement of the mass producing a measurable electrical response. Capacitive devices detect changes in capacitance caused by proof-mass displacement, while piezoresistive and piezoelectric designs use changes in electrical resistance or generated charge. Capacitive MEMS accelerometers are particularly attractive for compact electronic systems because they can provide low power consumption, small dimensions, and compatibility with integrated signal-processing circuits [5], [6].

The number of sensing axes determines how much directional information can be obtained from an accelerometer. A single-axis device measures acceleration along one direction, whereas a three-axis device captures acceleration along three mutually perpendicular directions. This additional information is valuable for wearable applications because body movement rarely occurs along a single fixed axis. Nevertheless, acceleration measurements contain contributions from both body movement and gravity, making filtering, orientation estimation, and coordinate transformation important parts of the measurement process [7], [8].

### 2.2. Performance and measurement limitations

Accelerometers are attractive because they are compact, relatively inexpensive, and readily integrated into microcontrollers and wireless wearable devices. Their performance is commonly assessed through measurement range, sensitivity, resolution, noise density, bandwidth, bias stability, and power consumption. Sensor selection must reflect the intended activity because a device designed for low-frequency human movement may not be suitable for high-frequency vibration measurements. Calibration is also essential because bias, scale-factor errors, cross-axis sensitivity, and temperature variation can influence the reliability of measured acceleration [9], [10].

A further limitation arises when acceleration data are integrated to estimate velocity or displacement. Small measurement errors can accumulate during integration, producing drift that becomes increasingly significant over time. Sensor placement also affects activity recognition because acceleration patterns vary depending on whether a device is attached to the wrist, waist, chest, or lower limb. Research on wearable accelerometers has consequently emphasized the importance of placement, calibration, feature extraction, and validation under realistic conditions [7], [8], [11].

### 2.3. Applications

Accelerometers are widely applied in activity recognition because different physical activities produce distinguishable patterns of acceleration. A wearable device can use these patterns to identify walking, running, sitting, standing, or other movements through signal-processing and classification methods. Smartphone-based activity recognition has demonstrated how embedded accelerometers can support continuous monitoring without requiring specialized equipment [12]. In healthcare and rehabilitation, accelerometer measurements can help assess movement frequency, activity intensity, gait characteristics, and changes in mobility over time [3], [13].

## 3. FLEX SENSORS

### 3.1. Operating principles and construction

A flex sensor, commonly referred to as a bend sensor, detects deformation when its flexible structure is curved. Many commercially available flex sensors operate through a resistive mechanism in which electrical resistance changes as the sensor bends. The sensing element is typically formed on a flexible substrate and connected to conductive terminals, allowing its resistance to be measured using an external circuit. Other flexible strain-sensing technologies use capacitive, piezoresistive, or alternative transduction mechanisms, depending on the material and device structure [1], [2], [14].

The electrical response of a flex sensor depends on its material composition, geometry, substrate properties, and bending conditions. Conductive polymers, carbon-based materials, metallic structures, and composite materials have been investigated for flexible sensing applications. These materials can be incorporated into wearable devices to monitor deformation while maintaining a degree of mechanical compliance. However, the relationship between resistance and bending angle is not necessarily linear, so practical systems often require empirical calibration for the specific sensor and mounting configuration [1], [14], [15].

### 3.2. Performance and measurement limitations

Flex sensors are useful when the objective is to measure local curvature or joint movement rather than general acceleration. Their performance is commonly evaluated through sensitivity, operating range, linearity, hysteresis, repeatability, response time, and mechanical durability. Some resistive sensors exhibit different electrical outputs during bending and straightening, which can introduce errors when the sensor is used for continuous motion tracking. Repeated deformation may also cause material fatigue, changes in electrical contact, or gradual shifts in the calibration relationship [14]–[16].

Mechanical installation is another important factor in flex sensor performance. A sensor attached loosely to a finger or joint may move independently of the body, producing measurements that do not accurately represent the intended anatomical movement. Excessive attachment pressure can restrict natural movement and reduce user comfort, particularly during extended monitoring. For these reasons, wearable designs must balance sensor positioning, mechanical protection, flexibility, and reliable contact with the body [2], [15], [16].

### 3.3. Applications

Flex sensors are frequently used in systems that monitor finger bending, hand posture, and joint movement. A glove equipped with multiple flex sensors can measure different finger configurations and provide input for gesture recognition or robotic hand control. In rehabilitation, these measurements can help track joint mobility and support the assessment of repetitive exercise performance. Flexible strain-sensing research has also expanded the possibilities for wearable devices that monitor body deformation, movement, and selected physiological signals [1], [14].

## 4. COMPARISON AND INTEGRATION OF ACCELEROMETER AND FLEX SENSORS

Accelerometers and flex sensors provide complementary rather than interchangeable measurements. Accelerometers capture segment-level acceleration and dynamic movement, whereas flex sensors provide information about local bending deformation. A system combining both technologies can therefore describe movement more comprehensively than a system relying on either sensor alone. For example, an accelerometer attached to the back of a hand can capture hand movement, while flex sensors placed along the fingers can estimate finger bending during a gesture [3], [4], [17].

A practical integrated system generally includes sensors, signal-conditioning circuits, a microcontroller, communication hardware, and a data-processing interface. Accelerometer outputs may be acquired through analog or digital interfaces, while resistive flex sensors commonly require a voltage-divider or equivalent measurement circuit. The microcontroller samples the signals, applies suitable filtering, and transmits the resulting data to a computer or mobile device for visualization and classification. The quality of the final measurement depends not only on the individual sensors but also on sampling synchronization, electrical noise control, calibration, and software implementation [2], [6], [12].

Sensor fusion can improve the interpretation of complex movements by combining information from different measurement sources. For example, acceleration data can help distinguish whether a hand is moving through space, while flex measurements can indicate which fingers are bent during that movement. This combination is relevant to rehabilitation gloves, sign-language interfaces, assistive devices, and control systems for robotic platforms. Nevertheless, the benefits of fusion depend on appropriate sensor placement and algorithms that account for measurement uncertainty and differences in sensor response [4], [13], [17].

## 5. RESEARCH CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite considerable progress, reliable wearable motion monitoring still faces challenges related to calibration, long-term stability, comfort, and real-world validation. Accelerometers may experience bias drift, orientation errors, and sensitivity to placement, while flex sensors may exhibit hysteresis, nonlinear response, and mechanical degradation. These limitations become more significant when devices are used for extended periods or by different users with varying body dimensions and movement patterns. Future systems should therefore prioritize repeatable calibration procedures, durable materials, ergonomic packaging, and evaluation under realistic daily-use conditions [9], [14]–[16].

Another important direction is the development of flexible sensor structures that can be integrated into clothing, gloves, and other body-conforming platforms. Advances in flexible materials and wearable electronics offer opportunities to improve comfort while maintaining adequate electrical performance. Low-power microcontrollers and wireless communication can also support continuous data collection without requiring users to remain connected to bulky equipment. Further research should investigate standardized

evaluation methods and robust sensor-fusion algorithms so that results from different wearable systems can be compared more consistently [2], [3].

## 6. CONCLUSION

Accelerometers and flex sensors are important technologies for measuring human movement, but they address different aspects of motion. Accelerometers provide information about acceleration and dynamic activity, while flex sensors are particularly useful for monitoring local bending and joint deformation. Their respective limitations mean that sensor selection should be based on the intended application, measurement range, required accuracy, and physical conditions of use. Integrating both technologies can provide richer motion information for rehabilitation, assistive systems, gesture recognition, and human–machine interfaces, provided that calibration, sensor placement, signal processing, and mechanical durability are properly addressed.

## REFERENCES


[1] G. Ge, W. Huang, J. Shao, and X. Dong, "Recent progress of flexible and wearable strain sensors for human-motion monitoring," *Journal of Semiconductors*, vol. 39, no. 1, p. 11012, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1088/1674-4926/39/1/011012.

[2] M. Ha, S. Lim, and H. Ko, "Wearable and flexible sensors for user-interactive health-monitoring devices," *Journal of Materials Chemistry B*, vol. 6, no. 24, pp. 4043–4064, 2018, doi: 10.1039/c8tb01063c.

[3] Y. Liu, H. Wang, W. Zhao, M. Zhang, H. Qin, and Y. Xie, "Flexible, stretchable sensors for wearable health monitoring: sensing mechanisms, materials, fabrication strategies and features," *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 2, p. 645, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.3390/s18020645.

[4] A. Kamišalić, I. Fister, M. Turkanović, and S. Karakatič, "Sensors and functionalities of non-invasive wrist-wearable devices: a review," *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 6, p. 1714, May 2018, doi: 10.3390/s18061714.

[5] Z. Mohammed, I. (Abe) M. Elfadel, and M. Rasras, "Monolithic multi degree of freedom (MDoF) capacitive MEMS accelerometers," *Micromachines*, vol. 9, no. 11, p. 602, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.3390/mi9110602.

[6] F. Chen, X. Li, and M. Kraft, "Electromechanical Sigma–Delta Modulators (<inline-formula> <tex-math notation='LaTeX'>$\Sigma\Delta{\mathrm{ M}}$ </tex-math> </inline-formula>) Force Feedback Interfaces for Capacitive MEMS Inertial Sensors: A Review," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 16, no. 17, pp. 6476–6495, 2016, doi: 10.1109/jsen.2016.2582198.

[7] L. Atallah, B. Lo, R. King, and G.-Z. Yang, "Sensor positioning for activity recognition using wearable accelerometers," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 320–329, Aug. 2011, doi: 10.1109/tbcas.2011.2160540.

[8] N. Twomey *et al.*, "A comprehensive study of activity recognition using accelerometers," *Informatics*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 27, May 2018, doi: 10.3390/informatics5020027.

[9] A. Nez, L. Fradet, P. Laguillaumie, T. Monnet, and P. Lacouture, "Comparison of calibration methods for accelerometers used in human motion analysis," *Medical Engineering & Physics*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 1289–1299, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.medengphy.2016.08.004.

[10] M. Varanis, A. Silva, A. Mereles, and R. Pederiva, "MEMS accelerometers for mechanical vibrations analysis: a comprehensive review with applications," *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering*, vol. 40, no. 11, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s40430-018-1445-5.

[11] A. Nag, S. C. Mukhopadhyay, and J. Kosel, "Wearable flexible sensors: a review," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 17, no. 13, pp. 3949–3960, 2017, doi: 10.1109/jsen.2017.2705700.

[12] J. R. Kwapisz, G. M. Weiss, and S. A. Moore, "Activity recognition using cell phone accelerometers," *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 74–82, Mar. 2011, doi: 10.1145/1964897.1964918.

[13] H. C. Koydemir and A. Ozcan, "Wearable and implantable sensors for biomedical applications," *Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 127–146, 2018, doi: 10.1146/annurev-anchem-061417-125956.

[14] G. Saggio and G. Orengo, "Flex sensor characterization against shape and curvature changes," *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, vol. 273, pp. 221–231, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.sna.2018.02.035.

[15] S. R. Larimi, H. Rezaei Nejad, M. Oyatsi, A. O'Brien, M. Hoorfar, and H. Najjaran, "Low-cost ultra-stretchable strain sensors for monitoring human motion and bio-signals," *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, vol. 271, pp. 182–191, Mar. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.sna.2018.01.028.

[16] Kenry, J. C. Yeo, and C. T. Lim, "Emerging flexible and wearable physical sensing platforms for healthcare and biomedical applications," *Microsystems & Nanoengineering*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2016, doi: 10.1038/micronano.2016.43.

[17] T. K. Chan, Y. K. Yu, H. C. Kam, and K. H. Wong, "Robust hand gesture input using computer vision, inertial measurement unit (IMU) and flex sensors," in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics, Robotics and Automation (ICMRA)*, May 2018, pp. 95–99, doi: 10.1109/icmra.2018.8490559.