IAES Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Vol. 5, No. 2, December 2018, pp. 33~36
ISSN: 2338-7432, DOI: 10.11591/jeee.v5i2.pp33-36

Beyond six legs: design, locomotion, and intelligent control of hexapod robots

Firdaus Tri Wicaksono[1], Hendril Satrian Purnama[2]
[1]Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
[2]Embedded Systems and Power Electronics Research Group, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Aug 5, 2017
Revised May 24, 2018
Accepted Jul 12, 2018

Keywords:

Bio-inspired robotics
Embedded control
Gait generation
Hexapod robot
Kinematics
Legged locomotion

ABSTRACT

Hexapod robots use six articulated legs to move through environments where wheeled platforms may struggle with steps, gaps, and irregular ground. Their additional footholds can improve static stability, but coordinating many joints creates substantial challenges in mechanism design, actuator selection, sensing, and real-time control. This review examines hexapod robotics through literature published no later than 2016, tracing the relationship between mechanical architecture, kinematic modelling, gait generation, embedded control, and terrain adaptation. Particular attention is given to tripod, wave, and adaptive gait patterns; servo and parallel-leg actuation; central pattern generators (CPGs); and sensor-based feedback. Studies of insect-inspired platforms, amphibious robots, and industrial walking-operating machines are considered where they clarify design trade-offs relevant to six-legged locomotion. The literature indicates that stability cannot be judged from leg count alone, because body geometry, foothold placement, contact conditions, actuator limits, and control timing jointly determine mobility. It also shows a transition from pre-programmed trajectories toward decentralized and adaptive controllers. The review concludes that robust hexapod development requires co-design of mechanics, electronics, sensing, and control, rather than isolated optimization of individual subsystems, supported by repeatable tests on representative terrain.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

1. INTRODUCTION

Legged robots are increasingly considered for tasks that require mobility over irregular surfaces, where wheels may lose traction or become obstructed by steps and gaps. Among legged platforms, the hexapod robot is distinguished by its six legs, which provide multiple contact points and several possible patterns of support during movement. This arrangement can offer useful stability and terrain adaptability, although it also introduces a considerable number of mechanical joints and control variables. The design of a practical hexapod therefore requires coordinated decisions across mechanical engineering, electronics, sensing, and control [1].

The central challenge is not simply to make six legs move, but to coordinate them so that the robot remains stable, efficient, and responsive to its surroundings. A poorly selected gait can cause unnecessary body oscillation, excessive actuator loading, or loss of ground contact. Likewise, accurate kinematic calculations do not guarantee reliable walking if motor response, sensor feedback, and terrain conditions are ignored. This review examines how hexapod design and locomotion research developed up to 2016, emphasizing the relationship between physical structure and the electronic control architecture required for reliable movement.

2. REVIEW SCOPE AND HEXAPOD ARCHITECTURE

This review synthesizes research published on or before 31 December 2016, with priority given to studies directly addressing hexapod robots. The selected literature covers mechanical design, leg configurations, kinematic modelling, gait planning, bio-inspired control, electronic implementation, and terrain adaptation. Research on amphibious platforms and industrial walking-operating robots is included where it provides relevant evidence about alternative leg mechanisms or practical applications. The review is intended to connect these technical areas rather than treat the mechanical and electronic subsystems as independent topics.

A typical hexapod consists of a central body connected to three legs on each side. Each leg may use two or three active joints, depending on the desired workspace, mobility, and mechanical complexity. The actuators are commonly electric servomotors, although pneumatic or other actuation arrangements have also been investigated for specialized applications. Tedeschi and Carbone [1] emphasized that the mechanical structure, leg configuration, actuation system, payload, operating conditions, and gait must be considered together during the design process.

The number of degrees of freedom determines how flexibly a robot can position its feet and adjust its body posture. An 18-degree-of-freedom configuration, for example, can provide three active joints per leg, allowing movement in multiple directions and more flexible foot placement. However, additional actuators increase the electrical power demand, control workload, wiring complexity, and number of potential failure points. The design should therefore balance mobility requirements against cost, weight, energy consumption, and maintenance.

3. KINEMATIC MODELLING AND LEG MECHANISMS

Kinematic modelling provides the mathematical foundation for translating desired foot positions into actuator commands. Forward kinematics determines the position of a foot from the joint configuration, while inverse kinematics calculates the joint positions required to reach a specified foot location. These models are essential for generating repeatable trajectories and coordinating the movement of multiple legs. Yang and Gao [2] demonstrated the use of kinematic analysis and prototype experiments for a multifunctional hexapod with parallel leg mechanisms.

Different mechanical configurations lead to different kinematic and workspace characteristics. Serial-link legs are relatively straightforward to model and can provide flexible foot movement, while parallel mechanisms may offer advantages in load capacity and structural stiffness. Yang and Gao [2] reported a walking-operating hexapod based on a parallel mechanism and demonstrated its load-bearing capability through prototype experiments. Nitulescu *et al.* [3] also examined the design of hexapod legs, showing the importance of mechanical geometry and kinematic analysis in developing a functional walking platform.

The choice of leg mechanism also influences the control system and the physical placement of sensors. Joint encoders provide information about actuator position, while foot-contact sensors can help determine whether a leg has reached the ground. These measurements allow the controller to compare commanded movement with actual robot behaviour. A robust design should therefore consider sensor integration during the mechanical design stage rather than adding feedback devices only after the robot has been assembled.

4. GAIT GENERATION AND LOCOMOTION STRATEGIES

Gait generation determines the sequence and timing of leg movements that produce forward, backward, or turning motion. Tripod gait is widely used because it moves three alternating legs together while the other three provide support. Wave gait generally moves one leg at a time and can provide a larger support base, although it often produces slower locomotion. Other intermediate patterns, including tetrapod gait, provide additional options for balancing speed and stability [4], [5].

The most suitable gait depends on the robot's geometry, centre of gravity, desired speed, and terrain conditions. A tripod gait can be effective on relatively even ground, but uneven surfaces may require more cautious leg placement and greater attention to body stability. Chou *et al.* [6] investigated a bio-inspired step-climbing strategy and demonstrated how a hexapod could change its movement pattern to negotiate high obstacles. Their work illustrates that a fixed gait may not be sufficient when the robot encounters a substantial change in terrain.

Gait planning can also be treated as an optimization problem. The controller must coordinate leg trajectories while limiting body oscillation, excessive joint movement, and unnecessary energy consumption. Manglik *et al.* [7] investigated genetic-algorithm-based gait adaptation and examined the ability of a simulated hexapod to continue moving after one leg was damaged. Such approaches are important because

they shift the design objective from producing a nominal walking pattern toward maintaining useful mobility under non-ideal conditions.

5. ELECTRONIC CONTROL AND BIO-INSPIRED COORDINATION

The electronic control architecture determines how the robot translates movement commands into synchronized actuator actions. A typical arrangement includes a central processing unit, motor drivers or servo controllers, joint-position feedback, and sensors for detecting the robot's interaction with the environment. The controller may calculate foot trajectories centrally and distribute the corresponding joint commands to each leg. Žák and Rozman [8] described a hexapod prototype with tripod, wave, and ripple gait capabilities, together with sensors and a user interface for monitoring robot movement.

Centralized control is relatively straightforward to implement because the main processor coordinates the complete gait sequence. However, the computational workload increases with the number of joints, sensors, and operating conditions that must be handled in real time. Communication delays or a failure in the central controller may also affect the movement of several legs simultaneously. A modular architecture can reduce this dependency by assigning local control functions to individual legs while retaining a higher-level coordination mechanism.

Bio-inspired control provides an alternative to strictly pre-programmed movement sequences. Central pattern generators (CPGs) use coupled oscillatory processes to produce rhythmic signals that coordinate leg movement. Schilling *et al.* [4] reviewed Walknet, a decentralized controller inspired by insect locomotion, and described how local leg controllers can coordinate walking over uneven surfaces. Grzelczyk *et al.* [9] developed a hexapod prototype with an electronic control system and investigated nonlinear oscillators as a CPG-based approach to leg coordination.

Adaptive control becomes particularly valuable when the robot encounters obstacles or unexpected changes in ground contact. Goldschmidt *et al.* [10] studied biologically inspired obstacle-negotiation behaviour and demonstrated how a hexapod could modify its movement in response to environmental conditions. Xiong *et al.* [11] investigated adaptive and energy-efficient walking using neuromechanical control and sensorimotor learning, highlighting the role of feedback in coordinating multiple joints. These studies suggest that effective locomotion requires more than synchronized motor commands because the robot must also interpret contact and movement information.

6. TERRAIN ADAPTATION, STABILITY, AND APPLICATIONS

Terrain adaptation is a major reason for using six-legged robots instead of conventional wheeled platforms. Multiple legs allow the robot to select alternative footholds when obstacles, gaps, or uneven surfaces prevent normal movement. However, the ability to negotiate terrain depends on foot geometry, joint workspace, body clearance, and the controller's response to contact conditions. Zhu *et al.* [12] examined trajectory correction and locomotion using semi-round rigid feet, demonstrating the importance of foot design in the interaction between the robot and the ground.

Hexapod platforms have also been investigated for applications that extend beyond ordinary ground walking. Zhao *et al.* [13] introduced an eccentric paddle mechanism for a hexapod platform intended to support amphibious locomotion and different movement patterns. Urrea *et al.* [14] presented a design, simulation, and control approach using MATLAB and Simscape Multibody, illustrating how simulation can support the development and evaluation of walking behaviour before hardware testing. These examples show that the usefulness of a hexapod depends on matching its mechanical and electronic architecture to the intended operating environment.

The performance of a hexapod should be assessed using more than walking speed. Relevant measures include static stability, obstacle-clearing ability, payload capacity, energy consumption, joint loading, and the ability to recover from disturbances. Simulation is valuable for evaluating kinematic models and control strategies, but it cannot fully reproduce friction, actuator backlash, structural compliance, or sensor noise. A reliable evaluation should therefore combine simulation with physical experiments under repeatable terrain and loading conditions.

7. RESEARCH CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The literature up to 2016 reveals several persistent challenges in hexapod development. Mechanical complexity and the number of actuators can increase cost, power consumption, and maintenance requirements. At the same time, open-loop gait generation may perform poorly when the robot encounters unexpected obstacles or changes in ground contact. Future designs should therefore prioritize lightweight

mechanisms, efficient actuators, reliable sensing, and control architectures that can adapt without excessive computational demand.

Another important direction is the integration of energy-aware control and fault tolerance. A robot that maintains stable movement but consumes excessive electrical power may be unsuitable for long-duration autonomous operation. Similarly, the ability to continue moving after a motor, sensor, or leg becomes unavailable can significantly improve the practical usefulness of the platform. Research combining adaptive gait optimization, local feedback, and fault detection may provide a path toward more robust hexapod systems.

8. CONCLUSION

Hexapod robots offer a promising approach to mobility in environments where conventional wheeled platforms face limitations. Their six-legged architecture provides multiple support configurations, but successful locomotion depends on the coordinated design of mechanical structure, kinematics, actuators, sensors, and control algorithms. Research published up to 2016 demonstrates progress from conventional tripod and wave gait planning toward bio-inspired, adaptive, and sensor-based locomotion. Nevertheless, challenges remain in energy efficiency, mechanical complexity, terrain interaction, and reliable operation under disturbances. The most effective development strategy is to treat the hexapod as an integrated mechatronic system and validate its performance through both simulation and repeatable hardware experiments.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Tedeschi and G. Carbone, "Design issues for hexapod walking robotsdesign issues for hexapod walking robots," *Robotics*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 181–206, Jun. 2014, doi: 10.3390/robotics3020181.

[2] P. Yang and F. Gao, "Leg kinematic analysis and prototype experiments of walking-operating multifunctional hexapod robot," in *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, Aug. 2014, pp. 2217–2232. doi: 10.1177/0954406213516087.

[3] M. Nitulescu, M. Ivanescu, V. D. H. Nguyen, and S. Manoiu-Olaru, "Designing the legs of a hexapod robot," in *2016 20th International Conference on System Theory, Control and Computing, ICSTCC 2016 - Joint Conference of SINTES 20, SACCS 16, SIMSIS 20 - Proceedings*, IEEE, Oct. 2016, pp. 119–124. doi: 10.1109/ICSTCC.2016.7790651.

[4] M. Schilling, T. Hoinville, J. Schmitz, and H. Cruse, "Walknet, a bio-inspired controller for hexapod walking," *Biological Cybernetics*, vol. 107, no. 4, pp. 397–419, Aug. 2013, doi: 10.1007/s00422-013-0563-5.

[5] X. Duan, W. Chen, S. Yu, and J. Liu, "Tripod gaits planning and kinematics analysis of a hexapod robot," in *2009 IEEE International Conference on Control and Automation, ICCA 2009*, IEEE, Dec. 2009, pp. 1850–1855. doi: 10.1109/ICCA.2009.5410582.

[6] Y. C. Chou, W. S. Yu, K. J. Huang, and P. C. Lin, "Bio-inspired step-climbing in a hexapod robot," *Bioinspiration and Biomimetics*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 036008, Sep. 2012, doi: 10.1088/1748-3182/7/3/036008.

[7] A. Manglik, K. Gupta, and S. Bhanot, "Adaptive gait generation for hexapod robot using genetic algorithm," in *1st IEEE International Conference on Power Electronics, Intelligent Control and Energy Systems, ICPEICES 2016*, IEEE, Jul. 2016, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/ICPEICES.2016.7853681.

[8] M. Zak and J. Rozman, "Design, construction and control of hexapod walking robot," in *2015 IEEE 13th International Scientific Conference on Informatics, INFORMATICS 2015 - Proceedings*, IEEE, Nov. 2016, pp. 302–307. doi: 10.1109/Informatics.2015.7377851.

[9] D. Grzelczyk, B. Stańczyk, and J. Awrejcewicz, "Prototype, control system architecture and controlling of the hexapod legs with nonlinear stick-slip vibrations," *Mechatronics*, vol. 37, pp. 63–78, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.mechatronics.2016.01.003.

[10] D. Goldschmidt, F. Wörgötter, and P. Manoonpong, "Biologically-inspired adaptive obstacle negotiation behavior of hexapod robots," *Frontiers in Neurorobotics*, vol. 8, no. 3, 2014, doi: 10.3389/fnbot.2014.00003.

[11] X. Xiong, F. Wörgötter, and P. Manoonpong, "Adaptive and energy efficient walking in a hexapod robot under neuromechanical control and sensorimotor learning," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 46, no. 11, pp. 2521–2534, Nov. 2015, doi: 10.1109/TCYB.2015.2479237.

[12] Y. Zhu, B. Jin, Y. Wu, T. Guo, and X. Zhao, "Trajectory correction and locomotion analysis of a hexapod walking robot with semi-round rigid feet," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, vol. 16, no. 9, p. 1392, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.3390/s16091392.

[13] J. Zhao, H. Pu, J. Zou, Y. Sun, and S. Ma, "Analysis of the non-reciprocating legged gait for a hexapod robot based on the ePaddle-EGM," *Robotics and Biomimetics*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 9, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1186/s40638-016-0034-2.

[14] C. Urrea, L. Valenzuela, and J. Kern, "Design, simulation, and control of a hexapod robot in simscape multibody," in *Applications from Engineering with MATLAB Concepts*, InTech, 2016. doi: 10.5772/63388.